

Covid-19 vaccine - 'roll-out' and risks. Informed consent. A careful and withering analysis by the UK Freedom Medical Alliance 6-12-2020

FAO Practice Manager or Deputy. Please forward, with my respects, to my erstwhile medical colleagues. (Forgive the font)

Dear doctor colleagues,

You might know I have been studying government and media responses to this epidemic since March. I have written extensively on it. I have been part of an active group of about 25 persons, three of which are medically qualified. Most with science degrees. 2 GPs We have communicated with many, and listened carefully to experts in the fields like Mr Michael Yeadon - ex-chief scientific officer, allergy and respiratory research at Pfizer, plus many others.

We have noted the ruthless and almost immediate censorship of acknowledged expert voices such as Professors Knut Wittkowski and Ioaniddis in the US. This is all the opposite of conscientious scientific inquiry.

As an informed yet retired doctor I am concerned as to the risk of harm to others, and if legal actions are directed at the practice, great harm to it and its vital services to patients.

This link <https://www.ukmedfreedom.org/resources/vaccine-documents> goes to 3 headings. The first to the chair of the GMC is damning. The second concerns general practitioners directly, and the staff delegated to inject the vaccine. One emphasis is on informed consent ***, which was absent when I had "flu jabs" up until about 15 years ago. ** (My wife Sue and her brother have never suffered this illness).

The third is directed at MPs - actual pearls to Very few have even a basic idea of science or scientific method. They were happy without any scrutiny to nod through a most complex 'The **2019/2020** Coronavirus Bill' 23-03-2020 after only 48 hours of 'debate'.

I have read the third carefully and would highlight Sections 12, 20, 21, 25, 29 and 31 b.

** I add a personal note. As a patient I have recently received 2 e-mails, 2 letters and a recent e-mail telling me that I am 'clinically very vulnerable'

should I contract C19. If I was ill informed, and of nervous disposition, this would itself do harm. It seems that this designation was made by the practice last April, so it runs on. I disputed it then but I have still not been told what criterion lead to this designation. I used my common sense and excellent medical education, and an adult life spent in medicine, to look after myself and others.

I add this too, to underline the fact that so much is plain junk - from this, the last posting on my web site to our MP Mr Mel Stride -

<https://dhalpin.infoaction.org.uk/52-articles/covid-19/335-a-view-of-westminster-from-haytor>

*Quote - Finally, a demonstration of incompetence at best, and trickery at worst. My wife Sue was enrolled by the Gates Foundation funded Imperial College in a C19 random testing. She withdrew shortly before the courier arrived with 3 kits from this parish of 2,400 souls in his polystyrene box. The sealed, unused double ended swab in its plastic tube was handed to the courier in a plastic bag. Sue was very pleased to get an e-mail from Imperial telling her the COVID-19 test was negative. There have of course been many confounding 'results' since this **plandemic** was started with the original title of the bill being 'The **2019/2020 Corona Virus Bill**!' I recall the president of Tanzania telling how the swab of a paupau had tested +ve!*

yours sincerely

David Halpin FRCS

*** I learn this mRNA vaccine is presented in 5 ml vials to be split from its minus 70 degree centigrade vessel into 5 doses. Are there 5 data sheets with each ampoule? Will there be all necessary time for the potential recipient to read it quietly and have any questions answered carefully?